AdS/CFTのコライダー 物理への応用 _{八田佳孝}

(筑波大数理)

2010/9/12 日本物理学会秋季大会 (九州工大)

Why AdS/CFT?

- Perturbative QCD very successful for hard processes. Why bother AdS?
- High CM energy does not mean weak coupling. There are many unsolved problems
- Hope AdS/CFT can bring new insights into the soft aspects of high energy QCD. Certain features may be universal.

Collider experiments

LEP (CERN, 1989~2000)

electron-positron annihilation $\sqrt{s} < 200 \text{GeV}$

HERA (DESY, 1990~2007)

Deep inelastic scattering (DIS) $\sqrt{s} \approx 320 {\rm GeV}$

Tevatron (Fermilab, 1987~) proton-antiproton $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV

RHIC (Brookhaven, 2000~) proton-proton nucleus-nucleus, $\sqrt{s_{NN}} \approx 200 \,\text{GeV}$

LHC (CERN, 2008~)

ILC (??, 20??)

proton-proton, $\sqrt{s} = 900 \,\text{GeV}, 2.36 \,\text{TeV}, 7 \,\text{TeV}, \dots$ nucleus-nucleus $\sqrt{s_{NN}} \approx 5.5 \,\text{TeV}$

electron—positron annihilation $\sqrt{s} > 500 \,\mathrm{GeV}$

Processes studied so far

- Hard scattering Polchinski-Strassler
- DIS and Pomeron

Janik-Peschanski; Polchinski-Strassler; Brower-Polchinski-Strassler-Tan Cornalba-Costa-Penedones; BallonBayona-BoschiFilho-Braga; AlvarezGaume-Gomez-VasquezMozo; YH-Iancu-Mueller...

• e+e- annihilation

Hofman-Maldacena; YH-Iancu-Mueller; Evans-Tedder Chesler-Jensen-Karch; YH-Matsuo; Csaki-Reece-Terning...

Nucleus-nucleus collision

Shuryak-Lin, Nastase, Albacete-Kovchegov-Taliotis; Gubser-Pufu-Yarom...

- Polarized DIS Gao-Xiao, YH-Ueda-Xiao...
- Odderon
 Brower-Djuric-Tan, Avsar-YH-Matsuo
- Drell-Yan
 BallonBayona-BoschiFilho-Braga

N=4 supersymmetric Yang-Mills

Deep inelastic scattering



Photon virtuality

$$q^2 = -Q^2 < 0$$
 (spacelike)

Bjorken variable

$$x = \frac{Q^2}{2P \cdot q}$$
$$\approx \frac{Q^2}{s} \quad (x \ll 1)$$

$$\frac{1}{4\pi} \int d^4 y e^{iqy} \langle P, S | [J^{\mu}(y), J^{\nu}(0)] | P, S \rangle
= \left(-\eta^{\mu\nu} + \frac{q^{\mu}q^{\nu}}{q^2} \right) F_1(x, Q^2) + \left(P^{\mu} - \frac{P \cdot q}{q^2} q^{\mu} \right) \left(P^{\nu} - \frac{P \cdot q}{q^2} q^{\nu} \right) \frac{F_2(x, Q^2)}{P \cdot q}
+ i \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} q_{\alpha} \left(\frac{S_{\beta}}{P \cdot q} (g_1(x, Q^2) + g_2(x, Q^2)) - \frac{q \cdot SP_{\beta}}{(P \cdot q)^2} g_2(x, Q^2) \right)$$

Parton evolution





Hard Pomeron

$$\int_{0}^{1} dx x^{j-2} F_2(x, Q^2) \sim \left(\frac{Q^2}{\mu^2}\right)^{\gamma(j)} \longleftarrow$$

Anomalous dim. of the twist-two operators

$$\bar{q}_f(0)\gamma^+(iD^+)^{j-1}q_f(0),\dots$$



DIS at strong coupling

Polchinski-Strassler (2002) YH-Iancu-Mueller (2007)



Large-x: No partons !

Polchinski, Strassler (2002)

At large-x, a hadron scatters as a whole.



So different from QCD. Phenomenology? No way !

Small-x: Regge regime

Brower, Polchinski, Strassler, Tan (2006)

$$A \sim s^{2 + \frac{\alpha' t}{2}}$$
$$= \int \frac{dj}{2\pi i} \frac{s^{j}}{j - 2 - t/2\sqrt{\lambda}}$$
$$t_{5D} = t_{\perp} + t_{z}$$

Laplacian operator acting on the string exchanged in the t-channel



Eigenvalue of t_z shifts the intercept

 $j_0 = 2 + \mathcal{O}(1/\sqrt{\lambda})$

Pomeron= Reggeized graviton in AdS

The anomalous dimension at strong coupling



Fits to the HERA data





Brower, Djuric, Sarcevic & Tan; 1007.2259

Cornalba & Costa; 0804.1562

Polarized DIS



Quarks' helicity contribution to the proton spin

 $\Delta\Sigma=1~$ in the naïve quark model



Spin crisis

Angular momentum decomposition in QCD

$$\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}\Delta\Sigma + \Delta G + L_{z}$$
Quarks' helicity
Gluons' helicity
Oribital angular
momentum

The EMC shock (1987) $\Delta \Sigma = 0.12 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.14$!?

Polarized DIS from AdS/CFT

YH, Ueda, Xiao (2009)

Unpolarized
$$ightarrow$$
 graviton exchange j=2 $JJ \sim rac{1}{x^2}T = F_1(x,Q^2) \sim \left(rac{1}{x}
ight)^{2-\mathcal{O}(1/\sqrt{\lambda})}$

質問にちゃんと答えられませんでしたが、この仕事では N=4 SYMのフェルミオンをクォークの代用物として扱っています。

Polarized

 \rightarrow SO(6) gauge boson exchange i=1

$$JJ \sim \frac{1}{x}J \qquad g_{1}(x,Q^{2}), \ g_{2}(x,Q^{2}) \sim \left(\frac{1}{x}\right)^{1-O(1/\sqrt{\lambda})}$$

$$A_{\mu} \qquad OPE \qquad J_{a}^{\mu}(y)J_{b}^{\nu}(0) = d^{abc}\epsilon^{\mu\nu} \ _{\alpha\beta}\frac{y^{\alpha}}{3\pi^{2}y^{4}}J_{c}^{\beta}(0) + \cdots$$

g1 and g2 at strong coupling

$$g_{1}(x,Q^{2})S^{+} + \frac{F_{3}(x,Q^{2})}{2}P^{+} = \frac{d^{33c}Q_{c}}{6\pi} \frac{\pi}{4\sqrt{\lambda}} \int d^{4}y dz \sqrt{G} \int dz' \sqrt{G'}G'^{+-}zz' \\ \times \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)^{1-\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\lambda}}} e^{-(\rho-\rho')^{2}/4D\tau}}_{\sqrt{\pi}D\tau} J^{bulk}_{+}(z',y)\bar{\psi}\gamma^{+}\psi(z)} \\ (\tau = \ln 1/x)$$

Sum rule OK.

The g2 structure function is much smaller than g1.

Comparison with QCD

QCD

Experiment

 $\begin{array}{l} \Delta\Sigma \sim 0.3\\ \Delta G \approx 0.0 \pm 0.5 \end{array}$

N=4 SYM at strong coupling

 $\Delta \Sigma \approx 0$ $\Delta G \approx 0 ??$

$$g_1^{singlet}(x) \approx 0$$
$$g_1^{non-singlet}(x) \sim \left(\frac{1}{x}\right)^{1-1/2\sqrt{\lambda}}$$

Helicity contribution suppressed due to the large anomalous dimension.

Total cross section difference



LHC(pp), cosmic rays

Odderon and Reggeon



The difference
$$\Delta \mathcal{A} \equiv \mathcal{A}_{pp
ightarrow pp}(s,t) - \mathcal{A}_{p \bar{p}
ightarrow p \bar{p}}(s,t)$$

is odd under crossing, generated by the exchange of C-odd objects in QCD

Reggeon (vector mesons) Odderon (C-odd glueballs) Lukaszuk, Nicolescu (1973)

$$\Delta \sigma(s) = \frac{1}{s} \operatorname{Im} \Delta \mathcal{A}(s, t = 0) \sim s^{-0.5}$$

Odderon = antisymmetric B-field



Imamura (1999)

B-field couples to the electric field in the D-brane worldvolume

Opposite sign in pp and ppbar scattering.

Total cross section difference

Avsar, YH, Matsuo (2009)

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \sigma &= \sigma^{BB} - \sigma^{BB} = 2 \int d^2 b \, Im \, \mathcal{A}^-(s, b) - 2 \int d^2 b \, Im \, \mathcal{A}^+(s, b) \\ &= -\frac{\pi \sqrt{\lambda}}{4 (\text{Vol}_{S^4})^2} \sum_{I,k} \frac{M_I + \frac{1}{M_I}}{k+2} \int dz d\Omega_4 Y^{(k)}(\Omega_5) \int dz' d\Omega'_4 Y^{(k)}(\Omega'_5) \left(\frac{zz's}{4\sqrt{\lambda}}\right)^{\alpha_O(0) - 1} \\ &\alpha_O(0) = 1 - \frac{M^2 - 1}{2\sqrt{\lambda}} \qquad M = 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

 $\Delta \sigma$ is negative ! ... in conflict with the ISR data

sign of $\Delta \sigma \rightarrow \text{sign of Im} \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{sign of the interaction}$ exchange of the B-field $\rightarrow \text{repulsion} \rightarrow \Delta \sigma > 0$? However, this may not be true in a curved space !!

A prediction

Reggeon exchange gives a positive contribution

$$\Delta \sigma \sim s^{\alpha_R - 1} > 0$$
 $\alpha_R = 1 - \frac{9}{2\sqrt{\lambda}}, \quad 1 - \frac{16}{2\sqrt{\lambda}}, \cdots$

Odderon exchange gives a negative contribution

$$\Delta \sigma \sim s^{\alpha_O - 1} < 0$$
 $\alpha_O = 1, \ 1 - \frac{3}{2\sqrt{\lambda}}, \ 1 - \frac{8}{2\sqrt{\lambda}}, \cdots$

At the ISR energies, the Reggeon dominates. But the Odderon eventually takes over, possibly at the LHC!

オデロンが見えてくるのは $\ln s > \sqrt{\lambda}$ の高いエネルギー領域であり、 LHCではこの条件は満たされていると考えられます。

Jets

Observation of jets in 1975 has provided one of the most striking confirmations of QCD



Average angular distribution $1 + \cos^2 \theta$ reflecting fermionic degrees of freedom

Fragmentation function

P $q^{2} = Q^{2} > 0$ e^{-} e^{+}

P Feynman-x X

$$\mathcal{L} \equiv \frac{2P \cdot q}{Q^2} = \frac{2E}{Q}$$

$$\frac{1}{\sigma_{tot}}\frac{d\sigma}{dx} \sim D_T(x,Q^2)$$

Count how many hadrons are there inside a quark.

 $\frac{\partial}{\partial \ln Q^2} D_T(j, Q^2) = \gamma_T(j) D_T(j, Q^2)$ Timelike anomalous dimension

DIS vs. e+e- : crossing symmetry



Parton distribution function

$$D_{S}(x_{B},Q^{2})$$

Bjorken variable

$$\mathcal{X}_B \equiv \frac{Q^2}{2P \cdot q}$$



Fragmentation function

$$D_T(x_F,Q^2)$$

Feynman variable $X_F \equiv \frac{2P \cdot q}{O^2}$

Fragmentation function at strong coupling

YH, Matsuo (2008)

$$\gamma_{s}(j) = \frac{j}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{2\sqrt{\lambda}(j-j_{0})} \quad \xleftarrow{\text{crossing}} \qquad \gamma_{T}(j) = -\frac{1}{2} \left(j - j_{0} - \frac{j^{2}}{2\sqrt{\lambda}} \right)$$

$$n(Q) \propto (Q/\Lambda)^{2\gamma_{T}(1)} = (Q/\Lambda)^{1-3/2\sqrt{\lambda}}$$

$$D_{T}(x,Q^{2}) = Q^{2}F\left(\frac{Qx}{\Lambda}\right) \qquad \swarrow$$

$$\Lambda/Q \qquad \sqrt{\Lambda/Q} \qquad 1 \qquad X$$

At strong coupling, branching is so fast and complete. Nothing remains at large-x !

Thermal hadron production

Identified particle yields are well described by a thermal model



Thermal hadron production from gauge/string duality



Polchinski, Strassler (2001)

When $n \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$ amplitude dominated by $z_s \sim \frac{1}{p}$ \longrightarrow Dimensional counting rule by Brodsky, Farrar (1973) YH, Matsuo (2008)

When $n \sim \mathcal{O}(Q)$ and $A_{\mu} \propto H_1^{(1)}(Qz) \sim e^{iQz}$

Saddle point imaginary $z_s \sim \frac{i}{\Lambda}$ $e^{iQz_s} \sim e^{-Q/\Lambda}$ Thermal !

Soft photon puzzle



Compute the four-point correlator of the R-current operator from AdS/CFT

$$\frac{dN}{dk} = \frac{\alpha_{em}}{2\pi k}$$
 Exact !

Novel source of soft photons

YH, Ueda (2010) T.Ueda (talk yesterday)

Factor 5 discrepancy between the data and theory (Bremsstrahlung).

Most recent analysis by **DELPHI** (e+e-)



Away-from-jets region



Gluons emitted at large angle, insensitive to the collinear singularity

Resum only the soft logarithms

 $(\alpha_s \ln 1/x)^n$

There are two types of logarithms.

Sudakov logs. (emission from primary partons) Kidonakis, Oderda, Sterman (1997) Non-global logs. (emission from secondary gluons) Dasgupta, Salam (2001)

Marchesini-Mueller equation

Marchesini, Mueller (2003)

Differential probability for the soft gluon emission



Evolution of the interjet gluon number. Non-global logs included.

$$\partial_Y n(\theta_{ab}, \theta_{cd}, Y) = \bar{\alpha}_s \int \frac{d^2 \Omega_k}{4\pi} \frac{1 - \cos \theta_{ab}}{(1 - \cos \theta_{ak})(1 - \cos \theta_{bk})} \\ \times \left(n(\theta_{ak}, \theta_{cd}, Y) + n(\theta_{bk}, \theta_{cd}, Y) - n(\theta_{ab}, \theta_{cd}, Y) \right). \qquad Y = \ln 1/x$$

BFKL equation

Differential probability for the dipole splitting

Í

Dipole version of the BFKL equation Mueller (1995)

$$\partial_Y n(x_{ab}, x_{cd}, Y) = \bar{\alpha}_s \int \frac{d^2 \vec{x}_k}{2\pi} \frac{(\vec{x}_{ab})^2}{(\vec{x}_{ak})^2 (\vec{x}_{bk})^2} \\ \times \left(n(x_{ak}, x_{cd}, Y) + n(x_{bk}, x_{cd}, Y) - n(x_{ab}, x_{cd}, Y) \right)$$

A hint from AdS/CFT

Final state of e+e- annihilation



The stereographic map



YH (2008)

Exact map at weak coupling

The same stereographic map transforms BFKL into Marchesini-Mueller

$$\frac{d^{2}\Omega_{k}}{4\pi} \frac{1 - \cos\theta_{ab}}{(1 - \cos\theta_{ak})(1 - \cos\theta_{bk})} = \frac{d^{2}\vec{x}_{k}}{2\pi} \frac{(\vec{x}_{ab})^{2}}{(\vec{x}_{ak})^{2}(\vec{x}_{bk})^{2}}$$

Make the most of conformal symmetry SL(2,C) of the BFKL kernel. Exact solution to the Marchesini—Mueller equation YH (2008) and much more ! Avsar, YH, Matsuo (2009)

NLL timelike dipole evolution in N=4 SYM

Avsar, YH, Matsuo (2009)

Apply the stereographic projection to the result by Balitsky & Chirilli (2008).

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_Y n_Y(\Omega_{ab}) &= \bar{\alpha}_s \left(1 - \bar{\alpha}_s \frac{\pi^2}{12} \right) \int d^2 \Omega_c \, K_{ab}(\Omega_c) \left[n_Y(\Omega_{ac}) + n_Y(\Omega_{cb}) - n_Y(\Omega_{ab}) \right] \\ &+ \bar{\alpha}_s^2 \int d^2 \Omega_c d^2 \Omega_d K_{ab}'(\Omega_c, \Omega_d) n_Y(\Omega_{cd}) \,, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} K_{ab}'(\Omega_c, \Omega_d) &= \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \Biggl\{ \frac{(1 - \cos\theta_{ab})}{(1 - \cos\theta_{ac})(1 - \cos\theta_{cd})(1 - \cos\theta_{db})} \\ &\times \Biggl[\Biggl(1 + \frac{(1 - \cos\theta_{ab})(1 - \cos\theta_{cd})}{(1 - \cos\theta_{ac})(1 - \cos\theta_{bd}) - (1 - \cos\theta_{ad})(1 - \cos\theta_{bc})} \Biggr) \\ &\times \ln \frac{(1 - \cos\theta_{ac})(1 - \cos\theta_{bd})}{(1 - \cos\theta_{ad})(1 - \cos\theta_{bc})} + 2\ln \frac{(1 - \cos\theta_{ab})(1 - \cos\theta_{cd})}{(1 - \cos\theta_{ad})(1 - \cos\theta_{bc})} \Biggr] \\ &+ 12\pi^2 \zeta(3) \delta^{(2)}(\Omega_{ac}) \delta^{(2)}(\Omega_{bd}) \Biggr\} \,. \end{split}$$

Conclusion

- AdS/CFT offers a new approach to nonperturbative aspects of high energy scattering.
- Some results completely different from QCD, some are useful.
- Feedback to weakly coupled, approximately conformal QCD. Certain features are universal !