

隅野行成 東北大学



☆Plan of Talk

- 1. Before 1998: Theoretical problem IR renomalon
- 2. Around 1998: Drastic improvement Discovery of cancellation of renormalons Interpretation
- 3. After 1998: Applications

Spectroscopy

Decays

Determinations of m_b , m_c (m_t)

Determination of α_s

Gluon config. inside quarkonium

Casimir scaling violation for static potential

1. <u>Before 1998: Theoretical problem</u>

 $\hat{H}_0 \;\;=\;\; rac{ec{p}^{\,2}}{m} - C_F \, rac{lpha_S}{r},$

Non-rel. Hamiltonian determined by matching to pert. QCD



$$\begin{split} \hat{H}_{1} &= \frac{-C_{F}\frac{\alpha_{S}}{r} \cdot \left(\frac{\alpha_{S}}{4\pi}\right) \cdot \left\{\beta_{0} \log(\mu'^{2}r^{2}) + a_{1}\right\},}{\hat{H}_{2} &= -\frac{\vec{p}^{4}}{4m^{3}} - C_{F}\frac{\alpha_{S}}{r} \cdot \left(\frac{\alpha_{S}}{4\pi}\right)^{2} \cdot \left\{\beta_{0}^{2} \left[\log^{2}(\mu'^{2}r^{2}) + \frac{\pi^{2}}{3}\right] + (\beta_{1} + 2\beta_{0}a_{1}) \log(\mu'^{2}r^{2}) + a_{2}\right\} \\ &+ \frac{\pi C_{F}\alpha_{S}}{m^{2}} \delta^{3}(\vec{r}) + \frac{3C_{F}\alpha_{S}}{2m^{2}r^{3}} \vec{L} \cdot \vec{S} - \frac{C_{F}\alpha_{S}}{2m^{2}r} \left(\vec{p}^{2} + \frac{1}{r^{2}}r_{i}r_{j}p_{j}p_{i}\right) - \frac{C_{A}C_{F}\alpha_{S}^{2}}{2mr^{2}} \\ &- \frac{C_{F}\alpha_{S}}{2m^{2}} \left\{\frac{S^{2}}{r^{3}} - 3\frac{(\vec{S} \cdot \vec{r})^{2}}{r^{5}} - \frac{4\pi}{3}(2S^{2} - 3)\delta^{3}(\vec{r})\right\}, \quad \text{Titard, Yndurain; Pineda Yndurain} \end{split}$$

•
$$\Upsilon(1S)$$
: $M_{\Upsilon(1S)} = \begin{array}{c} 2m_{b,pole} \\ \bullet \\ 9.94 - 0.17 - 0.20 - 0.30 \\ \bullet \\ 0(\alpha_s^0) \\ \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2) \\ \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^3) \\ \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^3) \\ \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^4) \end{array}$



Accuracy of perturbative predictions for the QCD potential improved drastically around year 1998.

Pineda Hoang,Smith,Stelzer,Willenbrock Beneke

If we re-express the quark pole mass (m_{pole}) by the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ mass $(m_{\overline{\text{MS}}})$, IR renormalons cancel in $E_{\text{tot}}(r) = 2m_{pole} + V_{\text{QCD}}(r)$.



Expanding $e^{i\vec{q}\cdot\vec{r}} = \underline{1} + i\vec{q}\cdot\vec{r} + \frac{1}{2}(i\vec{q}\cdot\vec{r})^2 + \cdots$ for small q, the leading renormalons cancel . \Box much more convergent series

Residual renormalon: $\Lambda imes \left\langle (ec{q} \cdot ec{r})^2 \right\rangle \sim \Lambda imes \left(\Lambda \, r
ight)^2 \ll \Lambda$







• $\Upsilon(1S)$: $M_{\Upsilon(1S)} = 9.94 - 0.17 - 0.20 - 0.30$ GeV (Pole-mass scheme) = 8.41 + 0.72 + 0.15 + 0.015 - 0.008 GeV ($\overline{\text{MS}}$ -scheme)



Computation of spectrum of Heavy Quarkonium ($Q\bar{Q}$ boundstate) Using $\overline{\text{MS}}$ mass $2m_{\text{pole}} = 2\overline{m} \left(1 + c_1 \alpha_S + c_2 \alpha_S^2 + c_3 \alpha_S^3 + \cdots\right)$



IR gluons $\lambda_g \gg r$ decouple \implies much more convergent series

Rapid growth of masses of excited states originates from rapid growth of self-energies of $Q \& \overline{Q}$ due to IR gluons.

Brambilla, Y.S., Vairo



 $E_X \approx 2m_b^{\overline{MS}}(\mu) + \int_0^{\mu} dq \, f_X(q) \alpha_s(q)$

Brambilla,YS,Vairo Recksiegel,YS



FIG. 5. Support functions for the *S* states. The solid curves show the support functions as defined in Eq. (19); for comparison of the relevant scales, $\alpha_s^{(4)}(\mu)$ is also plotted (dashed curve). Since the analysis that we advocate in this work does not attribute scales to the individual states, the scales indicated by the dotted lines are taken from [3], Table II. Rapid growth of masses of excited states originates from rapid growth of self-energies of $Q \& \overline{Q}$ due to IR gluons.

Brambilla, Y.S., Vairo



0 -2 A 'Coulomb+Linear potential' is obtained by resummation of logs: -4 YS -6 r^{-1} r^0 r^1 r^2 0 $V_{
m QCD}(r) = V_C(r) + {
m const.} + \sigma r + \mathcal{O}(\Lambda^3 r^2)$ Free of IR renormalons Pert. prediction valid at $r \lesssim \Lambda_{
m QCD}^{-1}$ 0 $V(r)/\Lambda_{\overline{
m MS}}^{3\,{
m loop}}$ -4 -6







A 'Coulomb+Linear potential' is obtained by resummation of logs: YS

 $V_{
m QCD}(r) = V_C(r) + {
m const.} + \sigma r + \mathcal{O}(\Lambda^3 r^2)$



•
$$\sigma = \frac{C_F}{2\pi i} \int_{C_2} dq \, q \, \alpha_V(q)$$

• Coefficient of linear pot. $\alpha_V(q) = \alpha_s(q) \sum_{n=0}^N a_n \left(\frac{\alpha_s(q)}{4\pi}\right)^n$
e.g. $\sigma_{\text{NLL}} = \frac{2\pi C_F}{\beta_0} \left(\Lambda_{\overline{\text{MS}}}^{2\text{-loop}}\right)^2 \frac{e^{-\delta}}{\Gamma(1+\delta)} \left[1 + \frac{a_1}{\beta_0} \, \delta^{-1-\delta} \, e^{\delta} \, \gamma(1+\delta,\delta)\right]$
 $N = 0, 1, 2, 3, \cdots$ for
LL, NLL, NNLL, NNNLL, \dots
 $\delta = \beta_1/\beta_0^2$

3. After 1998: Many Applications

Spectroscopy

Decays

Determinations of m_b , m_c (m_t)

Determination of α_s

Gluon config. inside quarkonium

Casimir scaling violation for static potential

- ٠
- •
- •

☆Action density surrounding heavy quarks



Color electric field $(\propto \sqrt{\rho(\vec{x}, \vec{r})})$ between Q and \overline{Q} is stronger than pure Coulomb field $\iff \nabla V_L(R)$

Domain of color electric field (action density) grows isotropically and scales as $\sim R^3$

Action density distributions corresponding to "Coulomb" and linear potentials:

Casimir scaling hypothesis

 $V_R(r) \propto C_R$

2nd Casimir op. for rep. R ····· supported by lattice measurements

cf. $V_R^{\text{tree}}(r) = -C_R \frac{\alpha_s}{r}$

Markum,Faber Campbell,Jorysz,Michael Deldar Bali



Tiny violation predicted, compatible with current lattice data.

☆Summary

- 1. Before 1998: Theoretical problem IR renomalon
- 2. Around 1998: Drastic improvement Discovery of cancellation of renormalons Interpretation, a linear rise at $r \lesssim \Lambda_{\text{OCD}}^{-1}$
- 3. After 1998: Applications (besides theoretical developments) Spectroscopy

Decays

Determinations of m_b , m_c (m_t)

Determination of α_s

Gluon config. inside quarkonium

Casimir scaling violation for static potential



Computation of spectrum of Heavy Quarkonium ($Q\bar{Q}$ boundstate)



Poorly convergent perturbative series

Application to quarkonium spectroscopy and determination of α_s , m_b , m_c .

Global level structure of bottomonium is reproduced.

Brambilla, Y.S., Vairo Recksiegel, Y.S

• Fine and hyperfine splittings of charmonium/bottomonium reproduced.

Two exceptions in ~2003:Recksiegel, Y.S.;Kniehl, Penin,charmonium hyperfine splitting $\Psi(2S) - \eta_c(2S)$ Pineda, Smirnov, Steinhauserbottomonium hyperfine splitting $\Upsilon(1S) - \eta_b(1S)$

Solved in favor of pert. QCD predictions.

• Determination of bottom and charm quark MS masses:

 $\overline{m}_b(\overline{m}_b) = 4190 \pm 30 \text{ MeV}$ $\overline{m}_c(\overline{m}_c) = 1243 \pm 100 \text{ MeV}$ Brambilla, Y.S., Vairo

• Relation between lattice α_s and $\overline{MS} \alpha_s$ being accurately measured (realistic precision determination in near future) Y.S.

Brambilla, Petreczky, Tormo, Soto, Vairo



* Pert. QCD prediction including full $O(\alpha_S^4 m)$ corrections to individual energy levels, as well as full $O(\alpha_S^5 m)$ corrections to fine structure $[\alpha_S(M_Z) = 0.1181]$. Recksiegel, Y.S.

Slides from Skwarnicki's plenary talk at Lepton-Photon 2003



Motivation for precision determinations of heavy quark masses

- Bottom quark
 - Constraints on $b-\tau$ mass ratio of SU(5) GUT models
 - Input param. for *b* physics: e.g. $\Gamma_b \propto m_b^5 \implies \text{LHC}_b$, Super-*B* factory
- Top quark
 - The only quark mass without MS mass in current PDG data.

 $m_t = 173.5 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.8 \; ext{GeV}$ ext{What mass?}

• Tests of Yukawa coupling at LHC and beyond.

 $egin{aligned} &\delta_t M_H^{
m SM} \propto m_t^2 & ext{cf. } \Delta M_H \sim 0.1\end{-}0.2 ext{ GeV} & ext{LHC} \ &\delta_t M_H^{
m MSSM} \propto m_t^4 & \sim 0.05 ext{ GeV} & ext{ILC} \end{aligned}$

Particle Data Group 2012

WEIGHTED AVERAGE 4.177±0.005 (Error scaled by 1.0)



b-QUARK MS MASS (GeV)

Prospects for precision determination of m_t from M_{tt}(1S)

Hagiwara,Y.S.,Yokoya Kiyo, et al.



 $e^+e^-
ightarrow tar{t}$ in the threshold region @ future Linear Collider

 $\Delta \overline{m}_t \lesssim 100 \; {
m MeV}$



 $\Delta \overline{m}_t$ significantly smaller than 1GeV?

Renormalon in the QCD potential

$$\alpha_{1L}(q) = \frac{\alpha_{S}(\mu)}{1 + \frac{\beta_{0}\alpha_{S}(\mu)}{4\pi} \log\left(\frac{q^{2}}{\mu^{2}}\right)} = \frac{4\pi/\beta_{0}}{\log\left(\frac{q^{2}}{A^{2}}\right)}$$

$$\Lambda \equiv \mu \exp\left[-\frac{2\pi}{\beta_{0}\alpha_{S}(\mu)}\right]$$

$$M_{LL}(r) = -\int \frac{d^{3}\vec{q}}{(2\pi)^{3}} e^{i\vec{q}\cdot\vec{r}} C_{F} \frac{4\pi\alpha_{1L}(q)}{q^{2}}$$
ill defined

$$= -C_{F} 4\pi\alpha_{S}(\mu) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int \frac{d^{3}\vec{q}}{(2\pi)^{3}} \frac{e^{i\vec{q}\cdot\vec{r}}}{q^{2}} \left\{-\frac{\beta_{0}\alpha_{S}(\mu)}{4\pi} \log\left(\frac{q^{2}}{\mu^{2}}\right)\right\}^{n}$$

$$= -C_{F} 4\pi\alpha_{S}(\mu) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\{\frac{\beta_{0}\alpha_{S}(\mu)}{4\pi}\right\}^{n} f_{n}(r,\mu) \times n!$$

$$F(r,\mu;u) \equiv \int \frac{d^{3}\vec{q}}{(2\pi)^{3}} \frac{e^{i\vec{q}\cdot\vec{r}}}{q^{2}} \left(\frac{\mu^{2}}{q^{2}}\right)^{u} = \frac{(\mu r/2)^{2u}}{4\pi^{3/2r}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-u)}{\Gamma(1+u)}$$

$$= \int \frac{d^{3}\vec{q}}{(2\pi)^{3}} \frac{e^{i\vec{q}\cdot\vec{r}}}{q^{2}} \exp\left[-u\log\left(\frac{q^{2}}{\mu^{2}}\right)\right] = \sum_{n} f_{n}(r,\mu) u^{n}$$
Asymptotically
$$f_{n}(r,\mu) \sim \frac{1}{2\pi^{2}} \mu \times 2^{n}$$

$$-2 \operatorname{Res}\left[F;u = \frac{1}{2}\right]$$
Most dominant part is indep, of r !

9.4 # APPLICATIONS OF HIGH ORDER CALCULATIONS

The computation of radiative corrections entails evaluation of the amplitudes associated with many Feynman diagrams. Such computations are highly technical and require the use of computer programs which can perform symbolic manipulations and reductions to core integrals. By way of illustration, Figure 9.13 shows the result of an analytic calculation including terms of order α_t^4 of the static potential





FIGURE 9.13 Computed static color interaction potential at three-loop order compared with the lattice computations. The curves correspond to several choices of renormalization scale. The points represent the results of independent lattice QCD calculations. [C. Anzai, Y. Kiyo, and Y. Sumino, Phys. Rev. Lett. 104, 112003 (2010)]

Interquark force

$$F(r) \equiv -\frac{d}{dr} V_{\text{QCD}}(r)$$
$$\equiv -C_F \frac{\alpha_F(1/r)}{r^2}.$$

Renormalization-group equation: $\mu^2 \frac{d}{d\mu^2} \alpha_F(\mu) = \beta_F(\alpha_F)$

 \implies Due to the running of $\alpha_F(1/r)$, the attractive force |F(r)| increases at large r.





* Pert. QCD prediction including full $O(\alpha_S^4 m)$ corrections to individual energy levels, as well as full $O(\alpha_S^5 m)$ corrections to fine structure $[\alpha_S(M_Z) = 0.1181]$. Recksiegel, Y.S.

$$V_{\text{QCD}}(r) = V_{\text{pert}}(r; \mu_f) + \delta E(r; \mu_f)$$



Comparison of lattice $V_{QCD}(r)$ and $V_{pert.}(r; \mu_f)$ at short distances

 $V_{\text{latt}}(r) - V_{\text{pert}}(r; \mu_f) = \delta E(r; \mu_f)$ $\xrightarrow{1}{r \log r} \xrightarrow{1}{r \log r} r^3$

Sensitive to relation between r_0 and $\Lambda_{\overline{MS}}$ or $\alpha_S(M_Z)$





μ dependence and convergence of M_{tt}(1S)



General feature of QCD beyond large ∂_{\Box} or leading-log approx.



 $\underline{A}_{\mu}(q) j^{\mu}(-q) \qquad \qquad j^{\mu}(x) = \delta^{\mu 0} \delta^{3}(\vec{x} - \vec{r}/2)$

Couples to total charge as $q \rightarrow 0$.

μ dependence and convergence of M_{tt}(1S)



General feature of QCD beyond large ∂_{\Box} or leading-log approx.

 $\underline{A_{\mu}(q)}\,j^{\mu}(-q)$



Couples to total charge as $q \rightarrow 0$.



 $j^{\mu}(x) = \delta^{\mu 0} \delta^3 (\vec{x} - \vec{r}/2)$